PILGRIMAGE TO THE HOME OF SIR THOMAS MORE.
BY MRS. S. C. HALL.

While living in the neighborhood of Chelsea, we determined to look up on the few broken walls that once enclosed the residence of Sir Thomas More, a man who, despite the bitterness inseparable from a persecuting age, was of most wonderful goodness as well as intellectual power. We first read over the memories of him preserved by Erasmus, Hoddesdon, Roper, Aubrey, his own namesake, and others. It is pleasant to muse over the past; pleasant to know that much of malice and bigotry has departed, to return no more, that the prevalence of a spirit which could render even Sir Thomas More unjust and, to seeming, cruel, is passing away. Though we do implicitly believe there would be no lack of great hearts, and brave hearts, at the present day, if it were necessary to bring them to the test, still there have been few men like unto him. It is a pleasant and a profitable task, so to sift through past ages, so to separate the wheat from the chaff, to see, when the feelings of party and prejudice sink to their proper insignificance, how the morally great stands forth in its own dignity, bright, glorious, and everlasting. St. Evremond sets forth the firmness and constancy of Petronius Arbiter in his last
moments, and imagines he discovers in them a softer nobility of mind and resolution, than in the deaths of Seneca, Cato, or Socrates himself; but Addison says, and we can not but think truly, "that if he was so well pleased with gayety of humor in a dying man, he might have found a much more noble instance of it in Sir Thomas More, who died upon a point of religion, and is respected as a martyr by that side for which he suffered." What was pious philosophy in this extraordinary man, might seem frenzy in any one who does not resemble him as well in the cheerfulness of his temper as in the sanctity of his life and manners.

Oh, that some such man as he were to sit upon our woolsack now; what would the world think, if when the mighty oracle commanded the next cause to come on, the reply should be, "Please your good lordship, there is no other!" Well might the smart epigrammatist write:

When More some time had chancellor been,
No more suits did remain;
The same shall never more be seen,
Till More be there again!

We mused over the history of his time until we slept, and dreamed: and first in our dream we saw a fair meadow, and it was sprinkled over with white daisies, and a bull was feeding therein; and as we looked upon him he grew fatter and fatter, and roared in the wantonness of power and strength, so that the earth trembled; and he plucked the branches off the trees, and trampled on the ancient inclosures of the meadow, and as he stormed, and bellowed, and destroyed, the daisies became human heads, and the creature flung them about, and warmed his hoofs in the hot blood that flowed from them; and we grew sick and sorry at heart, and thought, is there no one to slay the destroyer? And when we looked again, the Eighth Harry was alone in the meadow; and, while many heads were lying upon the grass, some kept perpetually bowing before him, while others sung his praises as wise, just, and merciful.

Then we heard a trumpet ringing its scarlet music through the air, and we stood in the old tilt-yard at Whitehall, and the pompous Wolsey, the bloated king, the still living Holbein, the picturesque Surrey, the Aragonian Catharine, the gentle Jane, the butterfly Anne Bullein, the coarse-seeming but wise-thinking Ann of Cleves, the precise Catherine Howard, and the stout-hearted Catherine Parr, passed us so closely by, that we could have touched their garments; then a bowing troop of court gallants came on; others whose names and actions you may read of in history; and then the hero of our thoughts, Sir Thomas More—well dressed, for it was a time of pageants—was talking somewhat apart to his pale-faced friend Erasmus, while "Son Roper," as the chancellor loved to call his son-in-law, stood watchfully and respectfully a little on one side. Even if we had never seen the pictures Holbein painted of his first patron, we should have known him by the bright benevolence of his aspect, the singular purity of his complexion, his penetrating yet gentle eyes, and the incomparable grandeur with which virtue and independence dignified even an indifferent figure. His smile was so catching that the most broken-hearted were won by it to forget their sorrows; and his voice, low and sweet though it was, was so distinct, that we heard it above all the coarse jests, loud music, and trumpet calls of the vain and idle crowd. And while we listened, we awoke; resolved next day to make our pilgrimage, perfectly satisfied at the outset, that though no fewer than four houses in Chelsea contend for the honor of his residence, Doctor King's arguments in favor of the site being the same as that of Beaufort House—upon the greater part of which now stands Beaufort-row—are the most conclusive; those who are curious in the matter can go and see his manuscripts in the British Museum. Passing Beaufort-row, we proceeded straight on to the turn leading to the Chelsea Clock-house.
It is an old, patched-up, rakicky dwelling, containing, perhaps, but few of the original stones, yet interesting as being the lodge-entrance to the offices of Beaufort-House; remarkable, also, as the dwelling of a family of the name of Howard, who have occupied it for more than a hundred years, the first possessor being gardener to Sir Hans Sloane, into whose possession, after a lapse of years, and many changes, a portion of Sir Thomas More's property had passed. This Howard had skill in the distilling of herbs and perfumes, which his descendant carries on to this day. We lifted the heavy brass knocker, and were admitted into the "old clock-house." The interior shows evident marks of extreme age, the flooring being ridgy and seamd, bearing their marks with a discontented creaking, like the secret murmurs of a faded beauty against her wrinkles! On the counter stood a few frost-bitten geraniums, and drawers, containing various roots and seeds, were ranged round the walls, while above them were placed good stout quart and pint bottles of distilled waters. The man would have it that the "clock-house" was the "real original" lodge-entrance to "Beaufort House;" and so we agreed it might have been, but not, "perhaps," built during Sir Thomas More's lifetime.

To this insinuation he turned a deaf ear, assuring us that his family, having lived there so long, must know all about it, and that the brother of Sir Hans Sloane's gardener had made the great clock in old Chelsea Church, as the church books could prove. "You can, if you please," he said, "go under the archway at the side of this house, leading into the Moravian chapel and burying-ground, where the notice, that 'within are the Park-chapel Schools,' is put up." And that is quite true; the Moravians now only use the chapel which was erected in their burying-ground to perform an occasional funeral service in, and so they "let it" to the infant school. The burying-ground is very pretty in the summer time. Its space occupies only a small portion of the chancellor's garden; part of its walls are very old, and the south one certainly belonged to Beaufort House. There have been some who trace out a Tudor arch and one or two Gothic windows as having been filled up with more modern mason-work; but that may be fancy. There seems no doubt that the Moravian chapel stands on the site of the old stables.

"Then," we said, "the clock-house could only have been at the entrance to the offices." The man looked for a moment a little hurt at this observation, as derogatory to the dignity of his dwelling, but he smiled, and said, "Perhaps so!" and very good-naturedly showed us the cemetery of this interesting people. Indeed, their original settlement in Chelsea is quite a romance. The chapel stands to the left of the burying-ground, which is entered by a primitive wicket-gate; it forms a square of thick grass, crossed by broad gravel walks, kept with the greatest neatness. The tombstones are all flat, and the graves not raised above the level of the sward. They are of two sizes only: the larger for grown persons, the smaller for children. The inscriptions on the grave-stones, in general, seldom record more than the names and ages of the persons interred. The men are buried in one division, the women in another. We read one or two of the names, and they were quaint and strange: "Anne Rypherina Hurloch;" "Anna Benigna La Trobe;" and one was especially interesting, James Gillray, forty years sexton to this simple cemetery, and father of Gillray, the H. B. of the past century. One thing pleased us mightily, the extreme old age to which the dwellers in this house seemed to have attained.

A line of ancient trees runs along the back of the narrow gardens of Milman's-row, which is parallel with, but further from town than Beaufort-row, and affords a grateful shade in the summer time. We resolved to walk quietly round, and then enter the chapel. How strange the changes of the world! The graves of a simple, peace-loving, unambitious people were lying around us, and yet it was the place which Erasmus describes as "Sir Thomas More's estate, purchased at Chelsea," and where "he built him a house, neither mean nor subject to envy, yet magnificent and commodious enough." How dearly he loved this place, and how much care he bestowed upon it, can be gathered from the various documents still extant.* The bravery with which, soon after he was elected a burgess to parliament, he opposed a subsidy demanded by Henry the Seventh, with so much power that he won the parliament to his opinion.

* After the death of More, this favorite home of his, where he had so frequently gathered "a choice company of men distinguished by their genius and learning," passed into the rapacious hands of his bad sovereign, and by him was presented to Sir William Pawlet, ultimately Lord High Treasurer and Marquis of Winchester; from his hands it passed into Lord Dacre's, to whom succeeded Lord Burgsley; then followed his son, the Earl of Salisbury, as its master; from him it passed successively to the Earl of Lincoln, Sir Arthur Goring, the Earl of Middlesex, Villiers duke of Buckingham, Sir Bulstrode Whitlock, the second Duke of Buckingham, the Earl of Bristol, the Duke of Beaufort, and ultimately to Sir Hans Sloane, who obtained it in 1738, and after keeping it for two years razed it to the ground; an unhappy want of reverence on the part of the great naturalist for the home of so many great men. There is a print of it by J. Knilly, in 1608, which is copied (p. 395); it shows some old features, but it had then been enlarged and altered. Erasmus has well described it as it was in More's lifetime. It had "a chapel, a library, and a gallery, called the New Buildings, a good distance from his main house, wherein his custom was to busy himself in prayer and meditation, whatsoever he was at leisure." Haywood, in his It More (Florence, 1595), describes "the garden as wonderfully charming, both from the advantages of its site, for from one part almost the whole of the noble city of London was visible, and from the other the beautiful Thames, with green meadows by woody eminences all around, and also for its own beauty, for it was crowned with an almost perpetual verdure." At one side was a small green eminence to command the prospect.
ion, and incensed the king so greatly, that, out
of revenge, he committed the young barrister’s
father to the Tower, and fined him in the fine
of a hundred pounds! That bravery remained
with him to the last, and with it was mingled
the simplicity which so frequently and so beau-

The king was used to visit his “beloved
chancellor” here for days together to admire
his terrace overhanging the Thames, to row in
his state barge, to ask opinions upon divers
matters, and it is said that the royal answer to
Luther was composed under the chancellor’s
revising eye. Still, the penetrating vision of Sir
Thomas was in no degree obscured by this
glitter. One day the king came unexpectedly
to Chelsea, and having dined, walked with Sir
Thomas for the space of an hour, in the garden,
having his arm about his neck. We pleased
ourselves with the notion that they walked
where then we stood! Well might such con-
descension cause his son Roper—for whom he
tertained so warm an affection—to congratu-
late his father upon such condescension, and
to remind him that he had never seen his majesty
approach such familiarity with any one, save
once, when he was seen to walk arm in arm
with Cardinal Wolsey. “I thank our Lord,”
answered Sir Thomas, “I find his grace my
very good lord, indeed; and I do believe, he
them. He suffered no colds or dices, but gave each one
his garden-plots for relaxation, or set them to sing or play
music. He had an affection for all who truly served him,
and his daughters’ nurses as affectionately remembered
in his letters when from home as are they themselves.
“Thomas More sendeth greeting to his most dear daugh-
ters Margaret, Elizabeth, and Cecily; and to Margaret
Giggs, as dear to him as if she were his own,” are his
words in one letter; and his valued and trustworthy
domestics appear in the family pictures of the family by
Holbein. They required his attachment by trustful fidelity
and love; and his daughter Margaret, in her last passion-
ate interview with her father on his way to the Tower,
was succeeded by Margaret Giggs and a maid-servant,
who embraced and kissed their condemned master, “of
whom, he said after, it was homely but very lovingly
done.” Of these and other of his servants, Erasmus re-
marks, “after Sir Thomas More’s death, none ever was
touched with the least suspicion of any evil name.”
doth as singularly love me as any subject within the realm; however, son Roper, I may tell thee I have no cause to be proud thereof, for if my head should win him a castle in France, it should not fail to go off.”

With the exception of his own family (and his wife formed an exception here), there are few indeed of his contemporaries, notwithstanding the eulogiums they are prone to heap upon him, who understood the elevated and unworthy character of this extraordinary man.

The Duke of Norfolk, coming one day to dine with him, found him in Chelsea Church, singing in the choir, with his surplice on. “What! what!” exclaimed the duke, “what, what, my Lord Chancellor a parish clerk! a parish clerk! you dishonor the king and his office.” And how exquisite his reply, “Nay, you may not think your master and mine will be offended with me for serving God his master, or thereby count his office dishonored.” Another reply to the same abject noble, is well graven on our memory. He expostulated with him, like many of his other friends, for braving the king’s displeasure. “By the mass, Master More,” he said, “it is perilous striving with princes; therefore, I wish you somewhat to incline to the king’s pleasure, for ‘indignatio Principis more est.’” “And is that all, my lord?” replied this man, so much above all paltry considerations; “then in good faith the difference between your grace and me is but this—that I may die today, and you to-morrow.”

He took great delight in beautifying Chelsea Church, although he had a private chapel of his own; and when last there they told us the painted window had been his gift. It must have been a rare sight to see the chancellor of England sitting with the choir; and yet there was a fair share of pomp in the manner of his servitor bowing at his lady’s pew, when the service of the mass was ended, and saying, “My lord is gone before.” But the day after he resigned the great seal of England (of which his wife knew nothing), Sir Thomas presented himself at the pew-door, and, after the fashion of his servitor, quaintly said, “Madam, my lord is gone.” The vain woman could not comprehend his meaning, which, when, during their short walk home, he fully explained, she was greatly pained thereby, lamenting it with exceeding bitterness of spirit.

We fancied we could trace a gothic door or window in the wall; but our great desire would have been to discover the water-gate from which he took his departure the morning he was summoned to Lambeth to take the oath of supremacy. True to what he believed right, he offered up his prayers and confessions in Chelsea Church, and then, returning to his own house, took an affectionate farewell of his wife and children, forbidding them to accompany him to the water-gate, as was their custom, fearing, doubtless, that his mighty heart could not sustain a prolonged interview. Who could paint the silent parting between him and all he loved so well—the boat waiting at the foot of the stairs—the rowers in their rich liveries, while their hearts, heavy with apprehension for the fate of him they served, still trusted that nothing could be found to harm so good a master—the pale and earnest countenance of “son Roper,” wondering at the calmness, at such a time, which more than all other things, bespeaks the master mind. For a moment his hand lingered on the gate, and in fastening the simple latch his fingers trembled, and then he took his seat by his son’s side; and in another moment the boat was flying through the waters. For some time he spoke no word, but communed with and strengthened his great heart by holy thoughts; then looking straight into his son Roper’s eyes, while his own brightened with a glorious triumph, he exclaimed in the fullness of his righthoned voice, “I thank our Lord the field is
wont." It was no wonder that, overwhelmed with apprehension, his son-in-law could not apprehend his meaning then, but afterward be-
thought him that he signified how he had con-
quered the world.

The abbot of Westminster took him that same
day into custody, on his refusal to "take the
king as head of his Church?" and upon his re-
peating this refusal four days afterward, he was
committed to the Tower. Then, indeed, these
heretofore bowers of bliss echoed to the weak
and waverin complaints of his proud wife, who
disturbed him also in his prison by her desires, so
vain and so worldly, when compared with the ele-
vated feelings of his dear daughter Margaret.

How did the fond, foolish woman seek to
shake his purpose! "Seeing," she said, "you
have a house at Chelsea, a right fair house,
your library, your gallery, your garden, your
orchard, and all other necessaries so handsome
about you, where you might in company with
me, your wife, your children, and household, be
merry, I marvel that you who have been al-
tways taken for so wise a man, can be content
thus to be shut up among mice and rats, and,
too, when you might be abroad at your liberty,
and with the favor and good-will both of the
king and his council, if you would but do as all
the bishops and best learned men of the realm
have done." 9

And then not even angered by her folly, see-
ing how little was given her to understand, he
asked her if the house in Chelsea was any
nearer Heaven than the gloomy one he then
occupied? ending his pleasant yet wise parley-
ing with a simple question:

"Tell me," he said, "good Mistress Alice,
how long do you think we live and enjoy
that same house?"

She answered, "Some twenty years."

"Truly," he replied, "if you had said some
thousand years, it might have been somewhat;
and yet he were a very bad merchant who
would put himself in danger to lose eternity for
a thousand years. How much the rather if we
are not sure to enjoy it one day to an end?"

It is for the glory of women that his daughter
Margaret, while she loved and honored him
past all telling, strengthened his noble nature;
for, writing him during his fifteen months' im-
prisonment in the Tower, she asks, in words
not to be forgotten, "What do you think, most
dear father, doth comfort us at Chelsea, in this
your absence? Surely, the remembrance of
your manner of life passed among us—your holy
conversation—your wholesome counsels—
your examples of virtue, of which there is hope
that they do not only persevere with you, but
that they are, by God's grace, much more increased."

After the endurance of fifteen months' im-
prisonment, he was arraigned, tried, and found
guilty of denying the king's supremacy.

Alack! is there no painter of English history
eople enough to immortalize himself by painting
this trial? Sir Thomas More was beheaded
on Tower Hill, in the bright sunshine of the
month of July, on its fifth day, 1535, the king
remitting the disgusting quartering of the quir-
ering flesh, because of his "high office." When
told of the king's "mercy," "Now, God for-
bid," he said, "the king should use any more
such to any of my friends; and God bless all
my posterity from such pardons."

One man of all the crowd who wept at his
death, reproached him with a decision he had
given in Chancery. More, nothing discom-
posed, replied, that if it were still to do, he
would give the same decision. This happened
twelve months before. And, while the last
scene was enacting on Tower-Hill, the king,
who had walked in this very garden with his
arm round the neck, which, by his command, the
ax had severed, was playing at Tables in
Whitelaw, Queen Anne Bullen looking on; and
when told that Sir Thomas More was dead,
casting his eyes upon the pretty fool that had
blotted in his pages, he said, "Thou art the
cause of this man's death. The coward!
to seek to turn upon a thing so weak as that,
the heavy sin which clung to his own soul!

Some say the body lies in Chelsea Church, 
beneath the tomb we have sketched—the epis-
taph having been written by himself before he
anticipated the manner of his death. 9 It is too

* Wood and Weaver both affirm that the body of More
was first deposited in the Tower Chapel, but was subse-
quently obtained by his devoted and accomplished daugh-
ter, Margaret Roper, and re-interred in Chelsea Church.
In the tomb he had finished in 1532, the year in which
he had surrendered the chancellorship, and resolved to
abide the issue of his conscientious opposition to the
king's wishes, as if he felt that the tomb should then be
prepared.
long to insert; but the lines at the conclusion are very like the man. The epitaph and poetry are in Latin: we give the translation:

"For Alice and for Thomas More's remains
Prepared, this tomb Johanna's form contains
One, married young; with mutual ardor blest,
A boy and three fair girls our joy confest.
The other (no small praise) of these appear'd
As fond as if by her own pulse endear'd.
One lived with me, one lives in such sweet strife,
Slight preference could I give to either wife.
Oh! had it met Heaven's sanction and decree,
One hallowed bond might have united three;
Yet still be ours one grave, one lot on high;
Thus death, what life denied us, shall supply."

Others tell that his remains were interred in the Tower,* and some record that the head legend how that, when his head was upon London Bridge, Margaret would be rowed beneath it, and, nothing horrified at the sight, say aloud, "That head has layd many a time in my lappe; would to God, would to God, it would fall into my lappe as I pass under now," and the head did so fall, and she carried it in her "lappe" until she placed it in her husband's, "son Ro-
per's" vault, at Canterbury.

The king took possession of these fair grounds at Chelsea, and all the chancellor's other property, namely, Dunkington, Trenkford, and Benley Park, in Oxfordshire, allowing the widow he had made, twenty pounds per year for her life, and indulging his petty tyranny still more, by imprisoning Sir Thomas's daughter, Margaret,† both because she kept her father's head for a relic, and that she meant to set her father's works in print."

We were calling to mind more minute particulars of the charities and good deeds of this great man, when, standing at the moment opposite a grave where some loving hand had planted two standard rose-trees, we suddenly heard a chant of children's voices, the infant scholars singing their little hymn; the tune, too, was a well-known and popular melody, and very sweet, yet sad of sound; it was just such music, as for its simplicity, would have been welcome to the mighty dead; and, as we entered among the little songsters, the past faded away, and we found ourselves speculating on the hopeful present.

We close Mrs. Hall's pleasant sketches of Sir Thomas More and his localities, with a brief description of a scene in his prison, which the pencil of Mr. Herbert, of the Royal Academy, has beautifully depicted. It must be remembered that More was a zealous Roman Catholic. He was committed to the Tower in 1534, by the licentious Henry VIII., partly to punish him for refusing to assist that mon-

* Faulkner, in his history of Chelsea, adheres to this opinion, and says that the tomb in that church is but "an empty cenotaph." His grandson, in his Life, says, "his body was buried in the Chapel of St. Peter, in the Tower, in the helter, or, as some say, as one entrench into the vestry," and he does not notice the story of his daughter's re-interment of it elsewhere.

† The Ropers lived at Canterbury, in St. Dunstan's-street. The house is destroyed, and a brewery occupies its site; but the picturesque old gateway, of red brick, still remains, and is engraved above. Margaret Roper, the noble-hearted, learned, and favorite daughter of More, resided here with her husband, until her death, in 1541, nine years after the execution of her father, when she was buried in the family vault at St. Dunstan's, where she had reverently placed the head of her father. The story of her pietry is thus told by Cresacre More, in his life of his grandfather, Sir Thomas: "His head haring
arch in his marriage with Anne Boleyn, "the pretty fool," as Mrs. Hall calls her; but particularly because he declined to acknowledge the king's ecclesiastical supremacy as head of the Reformed Church. There he remained until his execution the following year. "During his imprisonment," says his son-in-law and biographer, Roper, who married his favorite daughter Margaret, "one day, looking from his window, he saw four monks (who also had refused the oath of supremacy) going to their execution, and regretting that he could not bear them company, said: 'Look, Megge, dost thou not see that these blessed fathers be now going as cheerful to their death, as bridegrooms to their marriage? By which thou may'st see, mye own good daughter, what a great difference there is between such as have spent all their days in a religious, hard, and penitential life, and such as have (as thy poore father hath done) consumed all their time in pleasure and ease;" [From Hunting Adventures in South Africa.]

EARLY on the 4th we reinspected and continued our march for Booby, a large party of savages still following the wagons. Before proceeding far I was tempted by the beautiful appearance of the country to saddle horses, to hunt in the mountains westward of my course. I directed the wagons to proceed a few miles under guidance of the natives, and there await my arrival. I was accompanied by Isaac, who was mounted on the Old Gray, and carried my clumsy Dutch rifle of six to the pound. Two Bechuanaas followed us, leading four of my dogs. Having crossed a well wooded strath, we reached a little crystal river, whose margin was trampled down with the spoor of a great variety of heavy game, but especially of buffalo and rhinoceros. We took up the spoor of a troop of buffaloes, which we followed along a path made by the heavy beasts of the forest through a neck in the hills; and emerging from the thicket, we beheld, on the other side of a valley, which had opened upon us, a herd of about ten huge bull buffaloes. These I attempted to stalk, but was defeated by a large herd of zebras, which, getting our wind, charged past and started the buffaloes. I ordered the Bechuanaas to release the dogs; and sparring Colesberg, which I rode for the first time since the affair with the lioness, I gave chase. The buffaloes crossed the valley in front of me, and made for a succession of dense thickets in the hills to the northward. As they crossed the valley by riding hard I obtained a broadside shot at the last bull, and fired both barrels into him. He, however, continued his course, but I presently separated him, along with two other bulls, from the troop. My rifle being a two-grooved, which is hard to load, I was unable to do so on horseback, and followed with it empty, in the hope of bringing them to bay. In passing through a grove of thorny trees I lost sight of the wounded buffalo; he had turned short and doubled back, a common practice with them when wounded. After following the other two at a hard gallop for about two miles, I was riding within five yards of their huge broad sterns. They exhaled a strong bovine smell, which came hot in my face. I expected every minute that they would come to bay, and give me time to load; but this they did not seem disposed to do. At length, finding I had the speed of them, I increased my pace; and going ahead, I placed myself right before the finest bull, thus expecting to force him to stand at bay; upon which he instantly charged me with a low roar, very similar to the voice of a lion. Colesberg neatly avoided the charge, and the bull resumed his northward course. We now entered on rocky ground, and the forest became more dense as we proceeded. The buffaloes were evidently making for some strong retreat. I, however, managed with much difficulty to hold them in view, following as best I could through thorny